

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/625,145	07/22/2003	Stephen W. Boyd	FXH1006USC1	8267
9551 95750 9			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, VI X	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/10/2010	DADED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/625,145	BOYD, STEPHEN W.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Victor X. Nguyen	3731		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 25 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. a) b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) x will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 16.28-35.63 and 64. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

/Anhtuan T. Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731

Victor X. Nguyen

Continuation Sheet. The applicants' arguments as to the rejection of claims 16 and 28 which fail to teach the cage has a putrality of openings in the expanded position, where the openings being formed by rigidly connected elements; and a material removing element positioned within the cage cavity. The examiner disagrees. As claims 16 and 28 are currently written, they can be interpreted broadly that the Kasinkas' medical device at least discloses the cage 16, 18 has a plurality of openings (fig. 8b) in the expanded posation, where the openings being formed by rigidly connected elements 20b; and a material removing element 20c positioned within the cage cavity (figures 8b, 10). Regarding the intended use and functional language "the cage being releasable so that the cage may be left within the patient"; as rectation of the intended use of the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, and then it meets the claims. In the instant case, as the cage 16 expands, the material enters the opening (figs. 10). The applicants' argument as to the rejection of claims 31 and 63 metry leriterate the applicants' position that the prior art references fall to leach the claims (figs. 10). The applicants' argument as to the rejection of claims 31 and 63 metry leriterate the applicants' position that the prior art references fall to leach the claims (figs. 10). Regarding the claims 16, 28, the rejections of claims 31 and 63 as being uncertainted the content of the claims of the claims 16, 28, the rejections of claims 31 and 63 as being uncertainted the content of the claims of the claims 16, 28, the rejections of claims 31 and 63 as being uncertainted the content of the claims 16, 28, the rejections of claims 31 and 63 as being uncertainted the cape first of the first of the claims 21 and 50 as the content of the claims 16, 28, the rejections of claims 31 and 63 as being uncertainted the cape first of the first of the claims 16, 28, the rejections of claims 31 and 63